



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,897	01/29/2002	Toyoki Ue	JEL 31056I	5161
759	05/07/2003			
James E. Ledbetter, Esq.			EXAMINER	
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P. Suite 850			GANTT, ALAN T	
1615 L Street, N Washington, DC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2684	19
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/057,897	UE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alan T. Gantt	2684					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become the second seco	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commul. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 №</u>	<u>//arch 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-35 is/are pending in the application	'n						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/424,843</u> .							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152					

Application/Control Number: 10/057,897

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/03 have been fully considered. Applicant primarily argues that an inherency rejection must be supported by objective evidence or cogent technical reasoning as to why the inherent subject matter is necessarily or inevitably present in the Mahany.

The examiner accepts certain points of applicant's arguments. Thus, a slightly revised rejection follows. The examiner still feels that due to the broadness of applicant's claims, even without a discussion of inherency, still reads on Mahany.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mahany.

Regarding claims 31, 33, and 35; Mahany discloses a mobile radio data communication system that may communicate with mobile units at a higher or lower data rate depending on channel conditions. Mahany allows for a polling signal to be sent from the base station, which

Art Unit: 2684

unit responding to the polling signal may transmit as its response a selection signal specifying

may also constitute a test signal that is evaluated or measured at the mobile units. The remote

the data rate that has been determined to be optimum as a result of the test signal. Once the

response is received at the base station, there is a provision of control means [transmission rate

control circuitry] for automatically switching between two or more data rates and for adaptively

selecting the best data rate dynamically according to changing operating conditions (Abstract and

col. 2, lines 35-57 and col. 21, line 62 to col. 22, lines 44).

Regarding claims 32 and 34, the Mahany system changes the data rate back to the

original value as the channel condition returns to the initially reported condition since each

response by the mobile unit contains a report of its measured channel conditions using the base

stations polling and test signal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to

Alan Gantt at telephone number (703) 305-0077. The examiner can normally be reached

between 9:30 AM and 6 PM within the Eastern Time Zone. The group FAX number is (703)

308-6306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to this application should be directed to the

group receptionist at telephone number (703) 305-4700.

Alan T. Gantt

April 30, 2003

alan T. Gantt

Page 3